OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL

MEMORANDUM

TO: Chief, PIRS

FROM: Associate General Counsel, Litigation Division

SUBJECT: <u>Telephone Electronics Corporation v. FCC & USA</u>, No. 95-1015. Filing of a new Petition for Review filed

in the United States Court of Appeals for the

In the united States Court of Appears I

District of Columbia Circuit

DATE: January 24, 1995

Docket No(s). PP 93-253

File No(s).

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

This is to advise you that on <u>January 6, 1995</u>, <u>Telephone</u>
<u>Electronics Corporation</u>, filed a Section 402(a) Petition for
Review in the United States Court of Appeals for the District of
Columbia Circuit of the FCC decision: <u>In the Matter of</u>
<u>Implementation of Section 309(j) of the Communications Act -</u>
<u>Competitive Bidding</u> (Fifth MO&O), FCC 94-178, released November
23, 1994.

Challenge the denial of adopted rules governing eligibility to bid in the auction for licenses in the entrepreneurs' blocks for the provision of broadband personal communications services.

Due to a change in the Communications Act, it will not be necessary to notify the parties of this filing.

The Court has docketed this case as No. <u>95-1015</u> and the attorney assigned to handle the litigation of this case is <u>James M. Carr</u>.

Daniel M. Armstrong

cc: General Counsel

Office of Public Affairs

Shepard's Citations

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
For the DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA DIRCULTS JUNE 1095

TELEPHONE ELECTRONICS CORPORATION,	GE DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL
Petitioner,	95-1015
v .	CASE NO.
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,	nieu States Court of Appears For the District of Columbia Circuit
and	FILED JAN 06 1995
THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	1 ,)
Respondents.) RON GARVIN CLERK

PETITION FOR REVIEW

Telephone Electronics Corporation ("TEC") pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 402(a), 28 U.S.C. §§ 2342 and 2344, and Rule 15(a) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, petitions this Court for review of two Orders issued by the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") in a proceeding entitled In the Matter of Implementation of Section 309(j) of the Communications Act - Competitive Bidding, PP Docket No. 93-253. The first Order is the Fifth Report and Order, 9 FCC Rcd 5532 (1994). A summary of the FCC's Fifth Report and Order was published in the Federal Register on July 22, 1994 at 59 Fed. Reg. 37,566. The second Order is the Fifth Memorandum Opinion and Order, FCC 94-285 (released November 23, 1994). A summary of this second Order was published in the Federal Register on December 7, 1994 at 59 Fed. Reg. 63,210. A copy of each Order is attached to this Petition.

In the <u>Fifth Memorandum Opinion and Order</u>, the FCC denied TEC's petition for reconsideration of the <u>Fifth Report and Order</u> which adopted rules governing eligibility to bid in the auction for licenses in the entrepreneurs' blocks for the provision of broadband personal communications services ("PCS"). The <u>Fifth Memorandum Opinion and Order</u> also denied TEC's request for reconsideration of the FCC's affiliation rules, its partitioning requirements, and its rules governing eligibility to receive bidding credits and to pay for winning bids in installments.

Relief from the FCC's <u>Fifth Report and Order</u> and <u>Fifth Memorandum Opinion and Order</u> is sought on the grounds that they are unlawful, arbitrary and capricious, not supported by substantial evidence, and otherwise not in accordance with law. The FCC acted arbitrarily and capriciously in applying its affiliation rules to small, rural telephone companies in spite of the fact that corporate and regulatory barriers prevent cross-pooling with their affiliates. <u>National Ass'n. of Regulatory Util. Comm'rs. v. FCC</u>, 737 F.2d 1095, 1128 (D.C. Cir. 1984), <u>cert. denied</u>, 469 U.S. 1227, 105 S. Ct. 1224 (1985).

The FCC's auction rules, which prohibit TEC's small, rural telephone companies from bidding directly on licenses during the entrepreneurs' block auctions, are also contrary to Section 309(j) of the Communications Act, 47 U.S.C. § 309(j), which mandates that the FCC "ensure that small businesses, rural telephone companies, and businesses owned by members of minority groups and women are given the opportunity to participate in the provision of spectrum-

based services." (Emphasis added.) Excluding TEC's rural telephone companies from the auction also ignores the Congressional mandate set forth in the section of the statute entitled "Design of Systems of Competitive Bidding" which directs the FCC to "disseminat[e] licenses" to rural telephone companies.

The FCC acted arbitrarily and capriciously, abused its discretion, and acted contrary to Section 309(j) of the Communications Act by disqualifying several small, rural telephone companies from the preferences available to other small businesses and imposing a partitioning system that severely restricts where small, rural telephone companies can provide broadband PCS. Furthermore, the FCC's choice of gross revenue criteria rather than net worth criteria as the basis for its eligibility rules is irrational as only net worth identifies the amount of funds that an entity actually has available to be used for bidding in an auction.

TEC is a privately-owned, small entrepreneurial company with its operations centered in rural areas. Its core business consists of six, small rural telephone companies. The FCC's <u>Fifth Report and Order</u> and <u>Fifth Memorandum Opinion and Order</u> prohibit these small, rural telephone companies from bidding directly on licenses during the entrepreneurs' blocks auction, a result contrary to Section 309(j) of the Communications Act.

TEC requests that this Court hold unlawful, vacate, enjoin and set aside both the <u>Fifth Report and Order</u> and the <u>Fifth Memorandum</u> Opinion and Order, and that the Court grant such other and further relief as may be proper and just under the circumstances.

Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 2343.

Respectfully submitted,

TELEPHONE ELECTRONICS CORPORATION

James U. Troup (D.C. Bar No. 394500)

Roger P. Furey

(D.C. Bar No. 375600)

ARTER & HADDEN

1801 K Street, N.W., Suite 400K Washington, D.C. 20006

(202) 775-7100

Its Attorneys

Dated: January 6, 1995

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on January 6, 1995, copies of the foregoing Petition for Review were served by express mail upon:

Janet Reno Attorney General United States Department of Justice 10th and Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20530

William E. Kennard General Counsel Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W., Rm. 614 Washington, D.C. 20554

January 1990

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on January 6, 1995, copies of the foregoing Petition for Review were served by express mail upon:

Janet Reno Attorney General United States Department of Justice 10th and Constitution Avenue, N.W. Room 4400 Washington, D.C. 20530

William E. Kennard General Counsel Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W., Rm. 614 Washington, D.C. 20554

James Jangs